## THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

\* \* \* \* \*

\* NO. 2:23-CV-472-JRG SPORTSCASTR, INC.

d/b/a PANDA INTERACTIVE,

Marshall, Texas

VS.

\* 10:54 a.m. - 11:12 a.m.

SPORTRADAR GROUP, AG and SPORTRADAR AG,

December 16, 2024

\* \* \* \* \*

\* NO. 2:23-CV-471-JRG SPORTCASTR, INC.

d/b/a PANDA INTERACTIVE,

Marshall, Texas

VS.

GENIUS SPORTS LTD, et al \* December 16, 2024

10:54 a.m. - 11:12 a.m.

\* \* \* \* \*

## SETTLEMENT HEARING

BEFORE CHIEF JUDGE J. RODNEY GILSTRAP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Proceedings recorded by computer stenography Produced by computer-aided transcription

> EDWARD L. REED EdReedCR@aol.com 409-330-1605

```
1
   APPEARANCES:
 2
   For the Plaintiff:
 3
        MR. MARK D. SIEGMUND
        Cherry Johnson Siegmund James, LLC
 4
        400 Austin Avenue
        9th Floor
 5
        Waco, TX 76701
 6
   For Defendant, SportRadar:
 7
        MR. MICHAEL SMITH
        Scheef & Stone
 8
        113 East Austin Street
        Marshall, TX 75670
 9
        MR. DAVID A. ROODMAN
10
        MR. NICK E. WILLIAMSON
        Bryan Cave Leighton Paisner, LLP
        211 North Broadway
11
        Suite 3600
12
        St. Louis, MO 63102
   For Defendant, Genius Sports:
13
14
        MS. JEANNE M. HEFFERNAN
        MR. JAMES JOHN LOMEO
15
        Kirkland & Ellis, LLP
        401 Congress Avenue
16
        Austin, TX 78701
17
   Courtroom Deputy:
18
        ANDREA BRUNSON
19
   Court Reporter:
20
        ED REED
21
22
23
24
25
```

2.1

## PROCEEDINGS

## 10:54 A.M. - DECEMBER 16, 2024

THE COURT: All right, this is the time set on Hearing before the Court on disputed motions in the Matter of SportsCastr, Inc., d/b/a Panda Interactive, vs. SportRadar Group and SportRadar AG in the Lead Case No. 2:23-CV-472, also consolidated with the Lead Case. And before the Court today is SportsCastr, Inc., d/b/a Panda Interactive vs. Genius Sports Limited, Case No. 2:23-CV-471.

With regard to the disputed discovery

With regard to the disputed discovery motions set before the Court today, what states the Plaintiff, Panda?

MR. SIEGMUND: Good morning, Your Honor.

Mark Siegmund on behalf of the Plaintiff, Panda. All
the motions, by agreement, now have been resolved from
our side, and I'll let the Defendants speak to the
motions today.

THE COURT: All right, thank you for that. I want to simply get announcements in the record right now, and then I'm going to go back and get the substance of what the agreements are. Let me get an announcement from SportRadar Group.

MR. SMITH: Your Honor, for SportRadar Group, Michael Smith, Dave Roodman, and Nick Williamson, and

we're ready to proceed.

THE COURT: Thank you. And Genius Sports?

MS. HEFFERNAN: For Genius Sports, Jeanne

4 | Heffernan and James Lomeo.

2.1

2.3

THE COURT: All right. There were six disputed motions set for today. The Court directed the parties to appear at 9:00 and meet and confer. The Court indicated to the parties that if the various motions were not completely resolved, the Court would take up any unresolved matters at 2:00. It is now 11 a.m. and I'm advised by counsel, through my staff, that all six of these disputed motions have been resolved by the parties.

I'd like to go through these one at a time and get the parties' agreement on the record to make sure there is a complete and clear understanding between the parties and no space for a subsequent misunderstanding and resurrection of any disputes that otherwise we thought were resolved. I really don't care whether Plaintiff speaks first or Defendants speak first, as long as everybody gets a chance to confirm the recitations regarding the settlement and resolution of the disputed motions.

The first one is Document No. 52, which is Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Depositions of

2.3

SportRadar's Witnesses. This is Plaintiff's motion, so why don't I hear Plaintiff recite what the agreement is and then I'll get confirming statements on the record from Defendants. And I'm going to ask everybody to go to the podium for these recitals.

MR. SIEGMUND: Your Honor, if I could pass the mike over to the Defendants, they have our proposed stipulation they could read into the record.

THE COURT: That's fine. Whoever is going to speak for Defendants, go to the podium, please.

MR. ROODMAN: Your Honor, Dave Roodman on behalf of the SportRadar Defendants. We've prepared and provided the Court with a written stipulation signed by Plaintiff and the SportsCastr Defendants. In essence, the SportRadar Defendants have agreed that any witnesses that are citizens of and reside outside of the United States who are employees of subsidiaries or related companies that they believe may be called at trial, that we will identify those employees and/or witnesses to Plaintiff Panda no later than 60 days before the close of fact discovery, and the SportRadar Defendants agrees in good faith to seek the voluntary agreement of those persons to be deposed no later than 14 days before the close of fact discovery.

If any such persons are not voluntarily

1 agreeing to be deposed or otherwise can't be made 2 available, the SportRadar Defendants agree that they 3 will not call any of those witnesses at trial. 4 THE COURT: All right, thank you, Mr. Roodman. 5 Now, I have your two-page written stipulation on this 6 particular motion, Document No. 52. I'm happy for you 7 to file it on the record or I'm happy to give it back 8 to you, or is this simply a courtesy copy for the 9 Court, but what did you envision with this? 10 MR. ROODMAN: We'd be happy to file it with the 11 Court, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: All right, I'll hand it to the 13 courtroom deputy and you can get it from her as soon as 14 we finish on the record today. 15 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. ROODMAN: 16 THE COURT: Now, having heard that recitation 17 from Defendant SportRadar, does Panda concur and agree 18 that that's an accurate recitation that resolves this 19 dispute? 20 MR. SIEGMUND: Yes, Your Honor, we do. 21 And you're withdrawing your motion THE COURT: 22 in light of that? 2.3 MR. SIEGMUND: Correct, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Okay. This doesn't impact Genius 25 in any way, as far as I'm aware?

EDWARD L. REED
EdReedCR@aol.com
409-330-1605

1 MR. LOMEO: That's correct, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: We'll move on to the next one. 3 The next one is Document 52, the Plaintiff's Motions 4 to Compel Production of Documents Related to the Relationship Between SportRadar and genius. What's the 5 6 agreement here, counsel? 7 There is also the Motion to Compel 8 Production of Documents, Document 49. It seems to be a 9 companion motion. Can you tell me where we are on this, Mr. Roodman? 10 11 Yes, Your Honor. So Genius and MR. ROODMAN: 12 the SportRadar Defendants have both agreed that they 13 have no objection to producing the Settlement Agreement 14 and just the Settlement Agreement. So long as there is 15 another party to the Settlement Agreement, there is a 16 confidentiality provision. So Genius is going to reach 17 out to that other -- we've already reached out to the 18 other party and tried to seek their consent. They're 19 confident, though, if we get that third party to the 20 Settlement Agreement consent to produce the document, 2.1 then we'll forthwith produce it once that consent is 22 forthcoming. 2.3 The Plaintiff has agreed that the rest of their motion with respect to seeking related documents 24 25 and negotiation documents and other materials, that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

οf

they are withdrawing that portion of their motion at this time until they have an opportunity to see a copy of the Settlement Agreement. And then if they believe there are other documents that they are entitled to or need, we'll meet and confer at that point. THE COURT: All right. Is that an accurate recitation in view of the Plaintiff? MR. SIEGMUND: Yes, Your Honor, that's correct. THE COURT: All right. And the portion of the motion that doesn't relate to the SportRadar/Genius Sports agreement is being withdrawn without prejudice pending review of the actual agreement itself? MR. SIEGMUND: That is correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Can Genius confirm that's their understanding of the resolution here as well. MR. LOMEO: Your Honor, James Lomeo on behalf of the Genius Sports Defendants, and we confirm that that is our understanding as well. THE COURT: All right. And that disposes of both Document 54 and 49, as I understand it, which takes us on to Document 57, Plaintiffs Motion to Compel Production of Documents and Interrogatory Responses. What's the resolution on this motion? MR. LOMEO: Your Honor, James Lomeo on behalf

the Genius Sports Defendants. In meeting and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

conferring with Plaintiff Panda, we have resolved this motion given that Genius Sports recently learned -- or recently entered into certain new agreements that changed the circumstances of the motion. We will be producing the financials sought in the motion for data only activities without admitting that those revenues are appropriate for recovery in this case, but we will produce them in discovery, as well as the new agreements that have been recently entered into that changed the circumstances that were in existence at the time the motion was filed. Given the holidays, we are hoping to have this information produced by the end of January. So that's the full scope of the agreement between the parties on this motion. THE COURT: All right. Can plaintiff confirm that for the Court on the record, please? MR. SIEGMUND: Yes, Your Honor, we agree with what was recited by the Defendant, Genius Sports, without prejudice, you know, pending any other issues to bring another Motion to Compel, but this does resolve our current motion. THE COURT: And you withdraw your current motion based on that agreement? MR. SIEGMUND: Yes, Your Honor.

EDWARD L. REED
EdReedCR@aol.com
409-330-1605

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. And I gather this does not impact SportRadar and they don't have a position on this; is that correct? MR. ROODMAN: That's correct, Your Honor. All right. Then let's go on to THE COURT: the next matter, which is Document 51, which is Genius Sports Motion to Compel Production of Financial Documents and Interrogatory Responses. Do we have a resolution on this? MR. LOMEO: James Lomeo on behalf of the Genius Sports Defendants. Yes, Your Honor, we have a resolution on this Motion to Compel. THE COURT: What is it, counsel? So, for Interrogatory No. 4 --MR. LOMEO: this motion covered Interrogatories No. 4, 6 and 19. And with respect to each of them, Plaintiff has agreed to supplement. In particular, with respect to Interrogatory No. 4, Plaintiff has agreed to provide a narrative response explaining the claim terms at issue in the interrogatories and where they can be found in the accused products, including any interpretation of the terms "socket," "socket server" and "event socket" that Plaintiff contends is required to bring the functionality of the accused products within the scope of any asserted claims. And Plaintiff has committed to doing this by January 15th.

2.1

With respect to Interrogatory No. 6,

Plaintiff has agreed to supplement Interrogatory No. 6

to identify its date of first awareness of any alleged

infringement by patent and accused products. To the

extent and exact date is unavailable, Plaintiff will

identify as close a date as possible to that by January

15th.

With respect to Interrogatory No. 19,
Plaintiff agreed to provide a narrative response on
discussions to clarify whether or not notice was given
of infringement or the asserted patents. And Plaintiff
also agreed to provide the basis for its contention
that certain Genius Sports employees created accounts
with the Plaintiff. And plaintiff agreed to provide
its narrative on notice by a patent and product basis,
to the extent they came by January 15th.

So those were the issues in that motion at Docket 51.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Siegmund, can you confirm that's an accurate recitation of the parties' agreement for me on the record, please?

MR. SIEGMUND: Yes, Your Honor. I can confirm that on Interrogatory No. 19. I think this was said, but just to make sure, to the extent we can identify it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

based on a per product and by per patent basis, we will I'm not sure that we can do that. We will investigate and supplement accordingly. But other than that, that was an accurate recitation. THE COURT: All right. And I gather, in light of the agreement announced today, Mr. Lomeo, your client is withdrawing the motion? MR. LOMEO: Yes, Your Honor, that's correct. And one clarifying point to the point Mr. Siegmund just made is, to the extent they are unable to identify it on a patent or product basis, they will affirmatively state that in the interrogatory so there is no question. THE COURT: All right, that's understood, Mr. Siegmund? MR. SIEGMUND: That's correct, Your Honor. Wе understand. THE COURT: All right, thank you. Looks like the final motion set for resolution this morning is Document 78, which is Genius's Motion to Compel Supplemental Interrogatory What's the resolution here? Responses. MR. LOMEO: James Lomeo on behalf of the Genius Sports Defendants. We have resolved this motion, as well as part of the meet-and-confer process.

1 This motion covered Interrogatories No. 20, 21, 22, and 2 25. 3 With respect to Interrogatory No. 20, the Plaintiff confirmed that Panda Studio is the only 4 5 embodying product and that it will supplement its 6 embodying charts within two weeks to identify source code citations. 7 8 With respect to Interrogatory No. 21, 9 Plaintiff agreed to identify the date of first offer 10 for sale of the alleged invention and the product 11 underlying that offer for sale, as well as any 12 documents that were part of those offers, by January 13 15th. 14 With respect to Interrogatory No. 22, 15 Plaintiff represented that it identified in its 16 response all investors in the Plaintiff and, given that 17 representation, consider that issue resolved. 18 And then with respect to Interrogatory 19 No. 5, Plaintiff represented in the Joint Status Report 20 filed with the Court last week that it had provided all 2.1 information within its possession, custody, and control 22 related to that interrogatory. And so that resolved 2.3 that issue. Was there an Interrogatory 25 here? 24 THE COURT: 25 Yes, Your Honor, that was resolved

MR. LOMEO:

1 as part of the meet-and-confer process for the Status 2 Report that was done last week. THE COURT: All right. So you're 3 understanding the entirety of this motion has been 4 5 resolved? 6 MR. LOMEO: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Can Plaintiff confirm the accuracy 8 of that recital, as well? 9 MR. SIEGMUND: Yes, Your Honor, that is One slight clarification on Interrogatory 10 correct. 11 Panda Studio and the iterations of it, it was No. 20. 12 called a different name beforehand. But other than 13 that, the rest of what was recited on Interrogatory 14 No. 20 and concerning our supplement is correct, as 15 well as Rogs 21 and 22 and 19. So that is correct, 16 Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. And you understand, 18 Mr. Lomeo, with regard to Interrogatory 20, that's 19 Panda as it currently is known and any prior iterations? 20 MR. LOMEO: Yes, Your Honor. 21 All right, let me ask this of all THE COURT: 22 To the best of your knowledge and belief, 23 counsel, does that resolve all of the outstanding issues with these matters that were set for hearing 24 before the Court today?

1	MR. SIEGMUND: For the Plaintiff, that is			
2	correct, Your Honor.			
3	THE COURT: All right.			
4	How about for Genius Sports?			
5	MR. LOMEO: For the Genius Sports Defendants,			
6	that is correct, Your Honor			
7	THE COURT: And SportRadar?			
8	MR. ROODMAN: Yes, Your Honor, that's correct.			
9	THE COURT: All right. Counsel, let me thank			
10	you for your efforts in resolving these by agreement.			
11	It just reiterates the Court's belief in the value of			
12	in-person meet-and-confer opportunities. Thank you for			
13	being here.			
14	Is there anything that anybody present is			
15	aware of that needs to be taken up that the Court			
16	hasn't otherwise address as a part of the hearing			
17	today?			
18	MS. HEFFERNAN: No, Your Honor.			
19	MR. SIEGMUND: No, Your Honor.			
20	MR. ROODMAN: No, Your Honor.			
21	THE COURT: All right. You are excused with			
22	the Court's wishes for happy holidays. We stand in			
23	recess.			
24	[11:12 a.m Proceedings adjourned]			
25				

EDWARD L. REED EdReedCR@aol.com 409-330-1605

$D \oplus D \cap D \cap D$	TER'S	C E D E T	$\mathbf{E} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{A}$	m ra
REPOR	I'FIR'S	CHRTT	P I C A	. I. B.

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled cause.

/s/ Ed Reed
Edward L. Reed
Official Court Reporter

<u>12-26-24</u> Date